

Old Mutual Growth and Protection Solutions  
Annual Governance Report 2009

# Genesis



**OLD MUTUAL**

Corporate

invest  
in your  
success

## Contents

<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2. Executive Summary and Declaration</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3. Appendix 1</b>	
Investment Philosophy	<b>6</b>
<b>Appendix 2</b>	
Bonus Philosophy	<b>12</b>
<b>Appendix 3</b>	
Segregation of Portfolios ("Ring-fencing")	<b>15</b>
<b>Appendix 4</b>	
Portfolio Solvency Levels	<b>17</b>
<b>Appendix 5</b>	
KPMG Independent Assurance Report	<b>18</b>



## Introduction

### **Dear Genesis Investor,**

Smoothed Bonus products in general have been criticised of non-transparent management practices. The main areas of concern centre on

- the methods of declaring bonus rates,
- the segregation of policyholder funds from shareholder funds, and
- the impact of bonus smoothing reserves on the equitable allocation of investment returns to policies.

In light of the above, Old Mutual has engaged its independent auditor KPMG to provide independent assurance in respect of our annual report on the governance of our Smoothed Bonus business for the year ended 30 June 2009.

KPMG has reviewed our processes and its findings are included as Appendix 5 to this report.

This report aims to shed more light on the internal operation of the Old Mutual Smoothed Bonus Portfolios from Old Mutual Corporate.

We trust that this will provide a greater understanding of how these portfolios operate. This report, along with the independent report by KPMG, is intended to offer assurance concerning the governance of your investment in Genesis and the sound financial management of your money.

It is with great pleasure that we present this report for Genesis for the financial year ended 30 June 2009.



## Executive Summary and Declaration

We, Old Mutual, make the following declaration in respect of the Genesis portfolio under the following main headings:

### 1. Investment philosophy

The investment philosophy of the Genesis Portfolio is described in detail in Appendix 1 to this report. Contained within the investment philosophy is a description of the investment mandate of the Genesis Portfolio. The Genesis performance benchmark is also included in the investment philosophy.

We declare that the portfolio is being managed in line with the specifications of the mandate.

- The actual exposure to Property Assets fell outside its long-term guideline range of between 5% and 10% for 7 months over the year ending 30 June 2009. The exposure to Property was higher than the mandated maximum of 10% because of relative market movements and it persisted due to the asset class being illiquid. The following provides the actual allocation to Property Assets at the end of each quarter within the period:

Effective Date	Actual Allocation
30 September 2008	9.3%
31 December 2008	10.4%
31 March 2009	11.9%
30 June 2009	11.6%

- The actual exposure to Local Alternative Assets fell outside its long-term guideline range of between 2.5% and 7.5%, for 2 months over the year ending 30 June 2009. The exposure of Local Alternative Assets was higher than the mandated maximum of 7.5% at the end of May 2009 and June 2009 due to relative market movements. The following provides the actual allocation of Local Alternative Assets at the end of each quarter within the period:

Effective Date	Actual Allocation
30 September 2008	5.5%
31 December 2008	6.7%
31 March 2009	7.1%
30 June 2009	7.7%

- The actual exposure to Local Bonds fell outside its long-term guideline range of between 10% and 21%, for 2 months over the year ending 30 June 2009. The exposure to Local Bonds fell below the mandated minimum of 10% at the end of May 2009 and June 2009 due to relative market movements.

The following provides the actual allocation of Local Bonds at the end of each quarter within the period:

Effective Date	Actual Allocation
30 September 2008	12.7%
31 December 2008	14.6%
31 March 2009	11.5%
30 June 2009	9.5%

We declare that the risk management controls employed by the asset manager are of a sound financial nature.

We declare that the Genesis portfolio performance (gross of asset management charges) over the 3-year period ending 30 June 2009 (6.8% p.a.), as measured against the benchmark (7.0% p.a.), is below the performance of the benchmark return over the same period.

## 2. Bonus philosophy

The bonus philosophy is described in detail in Appendix 2 to this report.

We declare that the approach taken in determining the bonus declarations is financially sound and equitable.

We declare that the documented methodology in controlling the level of the Bonus Smoothing Reserve is compliant with the stated bonus philosophy.

## 3. Segregation of funds between policyholders and shareholders ("ring-fencing")

Old Mutual's approach to ring-fencing is set out in Appendix 3 to this report.

We declare that the structures and controls set in place to ensure portfolio segregation are adequate and are being adhered to.

## 4. Portfolio solvency levels

A statement regarding the Genesis Portfolio solvency level is set out in Appendix 4 to this report.

We certify that the Bonus Smoothing Reserve as at 31 December 2008, of the Genesis Portfolio, was not more negative than -7.5% of the value of the liabilities.

In addition, we can also disclose that the Bonus Smoothing Reserve (BSR) as at 30 June 2009 was between -5% and 0% of the value of the liabilities.

Signed on this the 9th day of November 2009



---

Trevor Pascoe  
Product Solutions Executive  
Investment Services  
Old Mutual Corporate



---

Roger Birt  
Head of Guaranteed Investment Portfolios  
Investment Services  
Old Mutual Corporate

## APPENDICES

### Appendix 1 - Investment Philosophy

- Old Mutual Investment Group (SA) (OMIGSA) manages the underlying portfolio. Denzil Burger is the portfolio manager.
- The portfolio manager is expected to manage the portfolio in line with the following mandate outlined below.
- Although this mandate is not expected to change frequently, Old Mutual will make adjustments as changes occur in the economic and investment environment and if a change occurs in the assessment of the inherent risks in the portfolios. This report describes the mandate effective 30 June 2009, with references to the mandate applicable during the period from 1 July 2008 to 1 June 2009 where relevant.

**The Genesis Portfolio invests in four broad asset classes, namely International Assets, South African Direct Property, South African Alternative Assets, and South African Equities & Interest-bearing Assets (including Targeted Investments).**

**The following specifies the mandated allocation to each broad class:**

Broad Class	Sub Class	Strategic Allocation (1 July 2008)	Strategic Allocation (30 June 2009)
South African Equities and Interest-bearing Assets	South African Equities	47.50%	44.00%
	South African Bonds	15.50%	14.00%
	South African Cash (incl. FSC assets)	9.50%	9.50%
South African Direct Property	South African Direct Property	7.50%	7.50%
South African Alternative Assets	South African Alternative Assets	5.00%	5.00%
International Assets	International Equities	7.75%	11.00%
	International Fixed Interest Investments	4.25%	5.50%
	International Alternative Assets	3.00%	3.50%

The following specifies the mandated asset allocation range for each broad class:

Broad Class	Sub Class	Range (1 July 2008)	Range (30 June 2009)
South African Equities and Interest-bearing Assets	South African Equities	[40%;55%]	[35.5%;51.5%]
	South African Bonds	[10%;21%]	[10%;21%]
	South African Cash (incl. FSC assets)	[4.5%;14.5%]	[4.5%;14.5%]
South African Direct Property	South African Direct Property	[5%;10%]	[5%;10%]
South African Alternative Assets	South African Alternative Assets	[2.5%;7.5%]	[2.5%;7.5%]
International Assets	International Equities	[3.5%;14%]	[6%;16%]
	International Fixed Interest Investments	[0%;9%]	[0%;10.5%]
	International Alternative Assets	[1.5%;6%]	[2%;7%]

## Asset Classes

### ***International Assets (Equities, Interest-bearing Assets & Alternative Assets):***

The exposure to international assets in the benchmark portfolio is set to the fixed weightings as indicated at the start of this section. The strategic allocation to offshore assets was changed from 15% to 20% with effect from 1 August 2008.

#### **International Equities**

As at 30 June 2009, the exposure to the international equities is limited to between 6% and 16%.

To construct the optimal portfolio, the portfolio manager makes use of OMAM (UK) and OMAM (US) on a multi-manager basis.

The performance benchmark for international equities is the total return of the MSCI World Equity Index (in South African rand).

The international equity portion of the portfolio is targeted to produce 1.5% per annum outperformance of its benchmark over any rolling 3-year periods, before costs

#### **International Interest Bearing Assets**

As at 30 June 2009, the exposure to the international interest bearing assets is limited to between 0% and 10.5%.

The performance benchmark for international interest bearing assets is a composite index of 50% Lehman Global Aggregate Total Return Index (now named the Barclays Capital Aggregate Index) plus 50% JP Morgan Total Return Index (in South African rand).

The international interest-bearing portion of the portfolio is targeted to produce 1% per annum outperformance of its benchmark over rolling 3-year periods, before costs.



### **International Alternative Assets**

As at 30 June 2009, the exposure to the international alternative assets is limited to between 2% and 7%.

The benchmark for international alternatives was changed from a composite of 50% MSCI World index and 25% Lehman Brothers Aggregate Bond index (now named the Barclays Capital Aggregate) and 25% JP Morgan Global Government Bond index to USA Consumer Inflation plus 5% in USD, over rolling 5 year periods, effective from 1 December 2008.

A maximum exposure of 7% of the portfolio can be held in alternative assets. These assets can include hedge funds, funds of hedge funds, absolute return funds and other defensive portfolios.

### ***South African Direct Property:***

As at 30 June 2009, the exposure to the local direct property assets is limited to between 5% and 10%.

From 1 June 2008, in calculating the benchmark portfolio performance, the exposure to this asset class in the benchmark portfolio was set to the strategic exposure (i.e. 7.5%), provided the actual exposure was equal to or less than a hurdle of 8%. The exposure to this asset class in the benchmark portfolio was increased above 7.5% by the extent to which the actual Domestic Direct Property exposure exceeds 8%. Any exposure above 7.5% in the benchmark portfolio for Domestic Direct Property was accommodated for by reducing the exposure in the benchmark portfolio to Domestic Equities and Domestic Interest Bearing Assets equally. From 1 January 2009 the hurdle used in this calculation was changed from 8% to 10%. This is an interim method in calculating the strategic exposures to cater for the illiquidity of the asset class and will be reviewed to better align the strategic allocation to 7.5% at the end of 2009.

Due to the change in the CPI and CPIX indices introduced in 2009, the benchmark became CPI+5.5% with effect from 1 April 2009.

The management of this component of the portfolio has been outsourced to OMIGSA Property Investments (OMIGPI), and exposure to South African Direct Property is achieved through investments in OMIGPI's Triangle Core, Development and SRI funds.

### ***South African Alternative Assets:***

This asset class contains investments in Alternative Asset Portfolios managed by OMIGSA. As from 1 June 2008, the portfolio has a strategic allocation to South African Alternative Assets of 5%, with a minimum exposure of 2.5% and a maximum exposure of 7.5%.

Alternative assets include investments in:

#### **Private Equity**

Private equity investments consist of unlisted equity or equity-linked investments.

#### **Infrastructure**

Infrastructure investments include investments in the African Infrastructure Investment fund, which includes investments in a diverse range of infrastructure projects within Africa, as well as the Infrastructural Development and Environmental Assets (IDEAS) fund, which invests in commercially viable development projects that aim to achieve development objectives within South Africa.



**Mezzanine Debt**

Mezzanine financing is a hybrid of debt and equity financing. Essentially, it is debt capital with contractual repayment obligations ranking ahead of pure equity instruments. These investments include some right to participate in the net asset value and/or the cash available for distribution to shareholders.

**Domestic Hedge Funds**

Hedge funds seek to provide diversification from other asset classes while consistently generating positive performance returns in excess of money market rates, even in adverse market conditions.

**African Assets**

These include unlisted private equity and actively managed listed equity and equity-linked investments in Africa outside of South Africa.

The portfolio may also have exposure to some of Old Mutual Corporate’s other portfolios, e.g. Symmetry Multi-Manager Portfolios and Symmetry Defensive Fund.

Due to the nature of the investments in this class, the portfolio manager is not in a position to effect asset allocation changes in the short term. Prior to 1 June 2008, when calculating benchmark portfolio performance, the exposure to this class was set to the actual exposure at the start of each month. However, effective from 1 June 2008, the exposure to South African Alternative assets in the benchmark portfolio is set to the fixed weighting as indicated at the start of this section.

The performance benchmark for this broader class is to generate a real return (relative to CPIX) in excess of 7% per annum (before tax and charges) over rolling 3-year periods. With effect from 1 April 2009, real returns are measured relative to CPI.

***South African Equities & Interest-bearing Assets:***

Effective from 1 June 2008, the exposure to each asset class (within this broader class) in the benchmark portfolio is set to the fixed weighting as indicated at the start of this section.

**Equities**

As at 30 June 2009, the exposure to the local equities is limited to between 35.5% and 51.5%.

The South African equity portion of this asset class is managed by the OMIGSA Equity Boutiques shown below, with the strategic allocations to these Boutiques, as indicated:

Boutique	Strategic Allocation
Dibanisa	50%
Core Equity	20%
Select Equity	10%
Value Equity	10%
SYmmETRY Equity	10%



The performance benchmark for the South African equity portion of the portfolio is the Shareholder's Weighted Index (SWIX). Before 1 December 2007, the performance benchmark was the Capped All Share Index (CAPI). For the purposes of performance measurement, total returns on these benchmarks are used i.e. dividends are included in the calculation of the benchmark portfolio return.

Performance is measured over rolling 12-month periods. The South African equity portion of the portfolio is targeted to produce 1.55% per annum outperformance of its benchmark (SWIX).

With effect from 24 December 2008, the portfolio could invest up to 2.5% in quoted property which is considered as part of the local equity exposure. The strategic asset allocation remained unchanged at 0%.

### **Interest-bearing**

As at 30 June 2009, the exposure to the local interest bearing assets is limited to between 14.5% and 35.5%.

The performance benchmark for the South African Interest-bearing asset portion of the portfolio is 35% STeFI Money Market Composite Index and 65% BEASSA All Bond Index. For the purposes of performance measurement, total returns on this benchmark is used i.e. interest is included in the calculation of the benchmark portfolio return.

The portfolio is at all times limited to durations within one year of the duration of the benchmark. The portfolio is targeted to produce 0.5% per annum outperformance of the benchmark over rolling 3-year periods.

The credit risk exposure of the portfolio as a whole must be subject to the Asset Manager's credit risk guidelines as documented in the Approved Exposure Guidelines, Intermediaries, Counterparties, and Instruments as approved from time to time by the OMIGSA Credit Committee.

The South African Interest Bearing portion of the portfolio includes Targeted Investments, which are investments in assets that partially or wholly meet the definition of Targeted Investments as published by the Financial Services Charter Council. The long-term performance objective of the Targeted Investments is to earn a return of 3 month JIBAR plus 70bps per annum, gross of asset management fees.

## **Overall Restrictions & Limitations**

- The portfolio shall at all times comply with Part 2 of the Schedule of the Regulations under the Long-term Insurance Act.
- All statutory requirements will be adhered to at all times.
- Derivative instruments can only be used for the purpose of reducing investment risk, efficient portfolio allocation and yield enhancement. Derivatives may not be used to speculate.
- A minimum of 50 distinct domestic equity stocks is required in the portfolio. N shares, pyramid holdings and other group exposures are treated as single stock exposures.
- For shares with market capitalisation of less than R2bn, the local equity portfolios cannot invest more than 5% of their assets. For all other shares local equity portfolios cannot invest more than 15% of their assets in any one share.



- Old Mutual is not legally permitted to acquire any further shareholding in Old Mutual plc, as a result of the LSE listing. For this reason a group-wide strategic holding of 10% of the market capitalisation at listing, excluding any additional capital raised, was acquired prior to listing. As at 30 June 2009, this holding makes up 3.16% of the value of the policyholder equity holdings in total.
- Restrictions apply on the trading of Old Mutual, Nedbank and Mutual & Federal shares during closed periods.

Performance is monitored on a monthly basis. Actual time-weighted performance is compared to the benchmark performance plus the targeted outperformance over the rolling periods specified.

No strict criteria are set for performance relative to peers. However, the monthly performance monitoring process has regard to the performance of similar mandates offered by other asset managers. This is used to assess the robustness of the benchmark, the reasonability of the outperformance target, and the relative success of the asset manager, taking into account any differences in benchmark and restraints imposed on the asset manager.



## Appendix 2 - Bonus Philosophy

The smoothing process inherent in the bonus philosophy aims to ensure that, over time, the bonus should produce the same return as the underlying portfolio net of the guarantee and other costs, but with the impact of short-term market volatility significantly reduced.

### Bonus Philosophy

The surplus attributable to smoothed bonus policies (i.e. generally the net investment return earned on policyholder funds) is credited to a Bonus Smoothing Reserve (BSR), from which bonuses are declared. The BSR is, in effect, the difference between the market value of the assets and the value of the basic liabilities. A separate BSR is maintained for each of the Old Mutual Corporate Smoothed Bonus Portfolios.

The amount available for each bonus declaration is therefore taken as the accumulated balance in the BSR. This balance depends primarily on:

- Amounts earned in the past, which have not previously been declared as bonuses.

Positive BSR balances represent surplus attributable to with-profit policyholders that have not yet been declared as bonuses, which will be used to support subsequent bonus declarations. A negative BSR balance means that more has been declared as bonuses to policyholders than has been earned to that date (as a consequence of the smoothing process), and that this will be recovered in future bonus declarations (i.e. future bonuses will be less than the net investment return earned).

- The net investment return earned during the prior month.

Bonuses declared usually differ from the actual net (of charges) investment return earned in any month, so as to reduce the impact of short-term volatility of returns to policyholders. In months when above average investment returns are earned, the bonus declared tends to be lower than the net investment return earned, and in months when below average investment returns are earned, the bonus declared tends to exceed the net investment return earned.

The BSR is therefore credited with the net investment return and is debited with the cost of bonuses declared and the cost of investment guarantees.

It is important to note that the BSR is used to declare bonuses for the benefit of Genesis policyholders only, and can therefore not be accessed by Old Mutual shareholders.

### Bonus Declaration Process

Bonuses are declared monthly in advance, based on the financial position at the beginning of each month. The bonus declaration is at the discretion of the Board and is based on the recommendation by the Statutory Actuary. The Board has delegated the authority for approving monthly bonuses to the Statutory Actuary, who will keep the Board informed periodically.



In addition to the above considerations, the process that follows describes how the monthly bonus is determined:

- The impact of the declaration of a proposed bonus on the BSR is assessed.

The BSR is targeted in the long term to be within a range of 0% to 5% of the accumulated policy values. However, the smoothing process, coupled with short-term market movements, and the impact of cashflows will inevitably cause the BSR to move outside of this range in the short term.

The bonus process is designed to restore the BSR to its long-term target over a reasonable period, consistent with guidelines issued by the Actuarial Society of South Africa (ASSA) that require the Statutory Actuary not to allow the BSR to drop below a position that can reasonably be expected to be restored within three years.

Consideration is given to the current level of the BSR, e.g. if the BSR is at a level below the target range, the proposed bonus may be reduced. The greater the shortfall, the greater the downward adjustment. Any shortfalls or excesses are not eliminated immediately but rather recouped or redistributed over time.

- A view on the relative level of the market compared to historical levels, as well as the current and medium-term economic outlook and prospects for investment markets is also factored into the equation. This is necessary to assess the amount available for the bonus declaration. For example, in times when the relative level of the market is high, and returns on the underlying assets have consequently been high, the BSR will be more positive. This allows an assessment to be made of the appropriate level of the BSR going forward.

The following additional factors are considered when determining the final bonus to be declared:

- The degree to which returns are smoothed compared to previous bonus declarations and levels of returns earned on the underlying assets.
- The reasonable expectations of policyholders as to the size of the bonus.
- The impact on bonuses if a severely falling market environment was experienced. For example, in such an environment, bonuses will be affected by the need to ensure that the BSR does not become too negative, as this would adversely affect subsequent bonuses.
- Our competitive position against the bonus declarations of Smoothed Bonus Portfolios offered by other providers.

### **Gross vs Net Bonuses**

Genesis Gross declares bonuses gross of investment fees and retirement fund tax where applicable. Genesis Net declares bonuses net of investment management fees and retirement fund tax where applicable.



### **Guaranteed vs Non-Guaranteed Bonuses**

In moderate investment conditions, the process aims to guarantee roughly half of the total bonus declared (i.e. guaranteed and non-guaranteed elements, gross of investment fees and retirement fund tax). However, in times of severe market uncertainty, or when a high bonus has been declared, this ratio can be reduced.

### **Allowance for Management Action in Adverse Circumstances**

As mentioned, the BSR is targeted in the long term to be within a range of 0% to 5% of the liabilities. Tolerance for BSR levels outside this range reduces as the absolute levels move further away from this range. The tolerance for a particular level of smoothing reserve is also a function of the prevailing investment and economic conditions and the outlook for these.

The focus here is particularly on a three-year time horizon, in line with the Actuarial Society of South Africa guidelines as described in this section. While Old Mutual has some internal guidelines, there are no absolute levels set at which particular management actions are automatically taken. Such an approach may be imprudent, as it may not consider all the factors involved at that time. However, if the BSR trends away from the long-term average, the extent of management action will become more pronounced, and will make use of the following remedial steps, taking due consideration of the economic and investment environment at all times.

The first would be through the bonus mechanism as described in this section, a mechanism used at all levels of BSR. The second would be to remove the non-guaranteed element (difference between Total Account and Vested, or guaranteed, Account) where this is possible. Such a removal will occur only if the Board, on the recommendation of the Statutory Actuary, considers it not possible to restore the level of the BSR during the ensuing three years through the bonus declaration process, given the prevailing investment and economic conditions.

If, after the removal of the non-guaranteed element, the Board considers that the level of the BSR may not be capable of being restored within three years, shareholder capital will be used to provide temporary support to the portfolio. If and when the position improves, the support provided will be returned to shareholder funds with returns earned.

### **The Cost of Providing Guarantees**

Old Mutual levies a capital charge of 1% per annum. The capital charge will be levied monthly in arrears on the assets underlying the policy. Thus any bonuses declared are net thereof. This charge is in respect of the cost of providing the guarantees inherent in this product.



## Appendix 3 - Segregation of Portfolios (“Ring-Fencing”)

Smoothed Bonus products constitute a significant proportion of the Company’s business. Because of the nature of this business, specific steps are taken to ensure that policyholder funds in respect of Smoothed Bonus business are managed in the interests of the policyholders concerned.

The following are some of the steps that are taken:

- There is a clear separation of shareholder and policyholder funds.
- The assets within the shareholder and policyholder funds are managed by different portfolio managers, in terms of different investment mandates.
- The portfolio managers responsible for the policyholder funds have been clearly instructed that all investment decisions taken within the policyholder funds are to be in the longer-term best interest of policyholders, within the constraints of specified investment mandates.
- Each product portfolio has an investment mandate that sets out the mandate for that product portfolio. Amongst others, the mandate specifies which asset classes may be held, and in what proportions.
- In addition to the limitation specified in the Long-term Insurance Act Investment Regulations, there are further self-imposed limits on investments in associated companies of the Old Mutual Group within each policyholder portfolio.
- Major investment in Old Mutual Group companies (such as Nedbank and Mutual & Federal) and loans to other companies in the Group (such as to Old Mutual plc) are predominately held in shareholder funds. Policyholder funds may, from time to time, have some limited exposure to such investments as part of their normal portfolio investments.
- All potential conflicts of interests arising out of proposals that policyholder funds invest in a company or fund in which shareholders could have an interest that could conflict with the policyholders’ interest, are disclosed to the Statutory Actuary, and if material are approved by the Board. Any such transactions are conducted on arms-length terms, and only when it is clearly demonstrated that such investments are in the interest of policyholders.
- The portfolio manager produces a monthly report covering, amongst others, the structure of each portfolio relative to its mandate and investment performance relative to benchmarks.
- The method of allocation of profits and investment returns between policyholders and shareholders is clearly specified, and Smoothed Bonus policyholder funds are credited (via bonus stabilisation reserves) with the full investment return earned on their funds, less specified charges.
- Any profit allocated to shareholder funds is only transferred from policyholder funds on the recommendation of the Statutory Actuary, following an actuarial valuation. Such transfers are always subject to the assets in the policyholder funds remaining sufficient to cover all the corresponding liabilities as determined by the Statutory Actuary on the valuation date. These liabilities include provision for any guarantees that may apply.



- With each valuation, a detailed analysis of profit is done, which confirms that there are no material errors or inconsistencies in the valuations, and that valuation assumptions are appropriate.
- The Company pays particular attention to ensuring that the declaration of bonuses is done in a responsible manner, such that sufficient reserves are retained for bonus smoothing purposes, and that sufficient reserves and capital are maintained to meet policy benefits. The way in which the Company manages these products, ensures that information is produced on the financial strength of its Smoothed Bonus funds, and their ability to pay bonuses at an individual fund level. This information is carefully considered whenever bonuses are declared, and is monitored regularly throughout the year. All investment returns credited to policyholder funds that are not declared as bonuses are retained in bonus stabilisation reserves, which may only be used to support subsequent bonus declarations.

## Appendix 4 - Portfolio Solvency Levels

As of 31 December 2002, mandatory guidance relating to the disclosure of solvency levels (Bonus Smoothing Reserves) in Smoothed Bonus Portfolios became effective.

Old Mutual complies with this guidance through making public, information relating to the solvency levels for all classes of business. The published reports contain a statement regarding the solvency levels of each class relative to a level of -7.5% of the value of the liabilities in that class.

This information is effective as at 31 December.

As at 31 December 2008, the Bonus Smoothing Reserves for the Genesis portfolio was not outside the stated range, i.e. it was not more negative than -7.5%.

On an annual basis, Old Mutual provides information on the Bonus Smoothing Reserves (BSR) for Genesis in the following format. The aim of this information is to demonstrate the extent to which the long-term objective in terms of a target range of BSR is being achieved.

The actual BSR after taking account of all declared bonuses falls within one of the following BSR ranges:

<b>Bonus Smoothing Reserve range:</b>	
Greater than 20%	
Between 15% and 20%	
Between 10% and 15%	
Between 5% and 10%	
Between 0% and 5%	
Between -5% and 0%	✓
Between -10% and -5%	
Smaller than -10%	

As at 30 June 2009, the actual BSR is in the range between -5% and 0%.



## Appendix 5 - KPMG Independent Assurance Report

### Independent assurance report to the Directors of Old Mutual Life Assurance Company of South Africa Limited

#### Introduction

We have performed our reasonable assurance engagement for the Old Mutual Life Assurance Company of South Africa Limited (Old Mutual) with respect to the extent of compliance with the governance policies set out on pages three to sixteen of the Annual Governance Report on the Internal Policies, Practices, Processes and Philosophies of the Old Mutual Smoothed Bonus Portfolios for the Genesis Investment Portfolio (the Annual Governance Report) for the year ended 30 June 2009.

This report is made solely to Old Mutual in accordance with the terms of our engagement. Our work has been undertaken so that we might state to Old Mutual those matters we have been engaged to state in this report and for no other purpose. We do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than Old Mutual, for our work, for this report, or for the conclusions we have reached.

#### Responsibilities of the directors

The directors of Old Mutual are responsible for the preparation and presentation of the Annual Governance Report. This responsibility includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of processes and procedures for compliance with the governance policies for the relevant investment portfolios and for all underlying information reported therein.

#### Responsibilities of the assurance provider

Our responsibility is to express our conclusion based on our independent reasonable assurance engagement, performed in accordance with the International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE 3000): Assurance engagements other than audits or reviews of historical information issued by the International Audit and Assurance Standards Board. This standard requires us to comply with ethical requirements and to plan and perform the assurance engagement to obtain reasonable assurance, regarding the subject matter of the engagement.

#### Basis of our work and inherent limitations

As there are no published International Standards for reporting on corporate governance, the disclosures in the Annual Governance Report have been evaluated against the extent of compliance with the stated governance policies applicable to the four aspects set out in the body of the Annual Governance Report on pages six to sixteen namely:

- Appendix 1: Investment Philosophy
- Appendix 2: Bonus Philosophy



- Appendix 3: Segregation of Portfolios ("Ring-fencing"), and
- Appendix 4: Portfolio Solvency Levels.

The application of the governance policies is dependent on the effective functioning of internal controls, which are subject to inherent limitations, and, accordingly, errors or irregularities might occur and may not be detected. Our procedures cannot provide assurance that fraudulent collusion or misrepresentation, especially on the part of those holding positions of authority or trust, has not occurred. Furthermore, our conclusions are limited to the period reported on and the projection of any conclusions to future periods is inappropriate. Individual calculations have not been subject to detailed recalculation and, accordingly, we do not express a conclusion on any specific calculation.

### Work performed

We planned and performed our work to obtain all the information and explanations we considered necessary to provide sufficient evidence for us to provide reasonable assurance that the four aspects indicated are substantially in compliance with the stated governance policies applicable to the Old Mutual Smoothed Bonus Portfolios for the Genesis Investment Portfolio. The procedures performed depended on our judgement, including the assessment of risks of material misstatement of the information contained in the Annual Governance Report, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, we have considered internal control relevant to the company's preparation and presentation of information in the Annual Governance Report in order to design assurance procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing a conclusion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control.

Our procedures consisted of:

- Obtaining an understanding of the governance policies applied by management in the governance of the investment portfolio;
- On a test basis determining that the performance calculations and related statements of performance have been calculated in accordance with the stated policies;
- Inquiring about and obtaining representations that the stated investment philosophy, and in particular the investment limitations, the bonus philosophy and segregation of investments have been complied with;
- On a test basis determining that the Bonus Smoothing Reserves and portfolio solvency levels have been calculated in accordance with the governance policies applicable to the relevant investment portfolios;
- Reviewing the presentation of the four aspects of the Annual Governance Report in light of the findings from our work performed.

We believe that the evidence that we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our conclusion, expressed below, for the application of the governance policies for the Old Mutual Smoothed Bonus Portfolios for the Genesis Investment Portfolio and does not extend to any other business or operations of Old Mutual.

## Conclusion

Based on our work performed, in our opinion, Old Mutual has complied with the governance policies stated in the Annual Governance Report on the Internal Policies, Practices, Processes and Philosophies of the Old Mutual Smoothed Bonus Portfolios for the Genesis Investment Portfolio for the year ended 30 June 2009.

Yours faithfully

KPMG services (Proprietary) Limited.



Per G Pickering  
Chartered Accountant (SA)  
Director  
Cape Town  
16 November 2009

1 Mediterranean Street  
Foreshore  
Cape Town  
8000



## Contact Details

### Contact your Corporate Consultant

Johannesburg +27 011 217 1000  
Pretoria +27 012 360 0000  
Western Cape +27 021 530 9600  
KwaZulu-Natal +27 031 250 4950  
Eastern Cape +27 041 391 6300

### E-mail

CorporateInvestments@oldmutual.com

### Visit our website

[www.oldmutual.co.za/corporate](http://www.oldmutual.co.za/corporate)

Old Mutual Corporate is a division of Old Mutual Life Assurance Company (South Africa) Limited, Licensed Financial Services Provider, Jan Smuts Drive, Pinelands 7406, South Africa. Company registration no: 1999/004643/06. The information contained in this document is provided as general information and does not constitute advice or an offer by Old Mutual. Every effort has been made to ensure the provision of information regarding these financial products meets the statutory and regulatory requirements. However, should you become aware of any breach of such statutory and regulatory requirements, please address the matter in writing to: The Compliance Officer, Corporate Compliance Department, Old Mutual Corporate, PO Box 66, Cape Town 8000, South Africa.



**OLD MUTUAL**  
Corporate

invest  
in your  
success